

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

MEMORANDUM FOR: Secretary of the Interior
ATTENTION: Robert Smoak
Department Foreign Intelligence
Security Officer
FROM:
SUBJECT: DCID 1/14
REFERENCE: Your Letter, dated 29 January 1981

STAT

1 Please be advised that, henceforth, the requirement for reinvestigation of Department of Interior personnel who hold SCI access may be satisfied by completion of a National Agency Check and such local checks as are appropriate; the minimum local checks called for by DCID 1/14 being police and credit. *2* Our adjudication of suitability for continued access will be accomplished with no change in existing arrangements and procedures; i.e., review and evaluation of updated biographic information along with the results of investigative action forwarded for our retention.

On file DOI release instructions apply.

STAT

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

STAT SUBJECT: (Optional)

DCID 1/14

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

STAT TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

I am still concerned with respect to the attached insofar as we do not seem to take Interior up on its predisposition to be subjected to a full reinvestigation when there have been some changes in the employee's military status, demeanor, travel, etc. In our response, we totally ignore the possibilities of an expanded reinvestigation. We seem to believe that a National Agency Check and the minimum policy and credit checks will be sufficient to signal the need for an expanded reinvestigation. The fact is that, however, Interior might well be knowledgeable of significant changes and we seem not to be placing any obligation on them to inform us so that a proper reinvestigation can be scheduled.

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

DCID 1/14

FROM

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

21 April 1981

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

C/PPG

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

Your point is well taken in that I considered making reference to full coverage when warranted and actually addressed the point during rough drafts of the memo. However, I decided against inclusion after consideration of Interior's strong assurance that field investigation would be conducted when warranted. This decision was discussed with Mr.

who agreed it was not necessary and somewhat impolitic to remind the Secretary of the Interior of a commitment and self-imposed standards. Further, he stated that Interior was most cooperative and conscientious in observing the requirement for reinvestigation. They initiate action in a timely fashion without any prompting or reminder from CIA. In short, the Interior personnel are honorable men, who on the basis of past performance, take their responsibility for protection of SCI seriously. The request for an NACI in lieu of a five year BUD **BI** is an understandable reaction to

FORM 1-79 **610** USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

the \$1100 plus charge by OPM and not indicative of laxity or indifference on the part of the security staff.

I suggest you discuss the matter with Mr.

if you still have reservations. The crux of the issue is Interior's track record and he is in the best position to comment.

STAT